### Apkallu

I. Introduction. Mesopotamian semidivine figure. A Babylonian tradition related by Berossos in the 3rd cent. (BURSTEIN 1978: 13f) describes a creature called Oannes that rose up out of the Red Sea in the first year of man's history. His entire body was that of a fish, but he had another head, presumably human, and feet like a man as well as a fish tail. He taught men to write, as well as many other arts, crafts, and institutions of civilization. He taught them to build cities and temples, to have laws, to till the land, and to harvest crops. At sunset he returned to the sea. Later there were other similar creatures who appeared on the earth. These were the sages.

The sage Adapa, a priest of Eridu created by the god →Ea/Enki, was also called Oannes. The name Oannes was thus connected, by true or false etymology, with the common noun for a sage in early Akkadian ummiānum, later ummânum. The other Akkadian term for a sage, apkallu, can also mean a type of priest or exorcist. According to a Sumerian temple hymn, the seven sages came from Eridu, the first city in the Sumerian King List. Since Eridu was the city of Ea who lived in the Apsu, iconography involving water and fish is to be expected for the sages. According to late Assyrian and Babylonian texts, legendary kings were credited early on with having sages.

The Epic of Erra and Ishum (probably 8th cent.) attributes to →Marduk the banishing of the sages (here called a.) down to the Apsu, and not allowing them to return. He describes them as pure purādu-fish, perhaps carp, who like their master Ea are especially clever, and were put among mortals before their banishment.

The ritual text bīt mēseri, for encircling a house with protective magical figurines. gives names to the sages of some famous kings in various cities (REINER 1961; BOR-GER 1974; see also HUNGER 1983: nos. 8-11). Some of those sages angered the gods. Ziusudra, also known as Utnapishtim and Atrahasis, was probably the last sage before the flood, the event which marks the division between immortal and mortal sages. Later sages were part mortal, part divine. Kings credited with a sage include Enmerkar, Shulgi, Enlil-bani of Isin, Hammurabi, Nebuchadnezzar I, Sennacherib, and Esarhaddon, but this time span (legendary/Early Dynastic [26th cent.] to mid 7th cent.) does not match that of the identified iconography.

Certain texts are attributed to sages, notably two medical texts and a hymn (REINER 1961), the Myth of Etana, the Sumerian Tale of Three Ox-drivers, the Babylonian Theodicy, and the astrological series UD.SAR Anum Enlila. In Assyrian tradition the sages guarded the Tablet of Destinies for the god →Nabu, patron of scribes. This information gives a possible link with the composite monsters in the tradition of the Babylonian Epic of Creation, which centers on control of the Tablet of Destinies. Such a link would explain the scene that puts phenotype 1 (see § II.1) with composite monsters who fight as archers (24), and phenotype 2 (see § II.2) with mermen (44\*, 51) and composite monsters (50\*). However, in known versions of the Epic, the hero-god, not the composite monsters, is called a sage; thus the relationship is not clear.

The deities Ea, Damkina, →Gula, →Enlil, →Adad, Marduk, Nabu, and Gerra were all called "sage of the gods" in texts on particular occasions; the link with Ea is apparent for type 2 from 40, 47–48, and with Marduk and Nabu from 63. A link between type 2 and the moon god →Sin is shown on 45 and probably with Adad on 15\*.

Exceptional people such as Sennacherib, his wife Naqia, and their grandson Assurbanipal were called sage, *a./apkallatu*, whether as flattery or as a result of specific circumstances. A 7th cent. queen of Arabia was also given the title of sage, perhaps related to the meaning of the cognate as a type of priest in early Arabia (BORGER 1957). This may be linked to the appearance of unbearded type 1 sages whose garments differ from those of bearded sages (1\*-2, 27-30).

One of the questions relevant for the three iconographic types of sages is whether they refer to *categories* of sage related to different periods in time – preflood, intermediate (i.e., Ziusudra-Atrahasis who lived through the flood), and postflood; or to different *functions* such as writers of medical texts or court wisdom; or whether *chronological* and/or *regional* traditions account for different types and associations.

#### II. Typology

1. Human-figured A. (1–39) 2. Fish-cloak A. (12, 33–35, 40–66) 3. Bird-of-prey-headed A. (6–7, 21, 36, 39, 67–80) 4. Problematic identifications

**GENERAL REMARKS.** No single image definitively represents the sages. However, three main types can be distinguished: the human-figured, winged A. (type 1); the fish-cloaked (type 2); and the bird-headed, winged A. (type 3). They have been identi-

fied chiefly on the basis of iconographic similarities but also because of evidence in inscriptions (WIGGERMANN 1992: passim) and in Berossos' account.

The commonest pose is that of a standing figure holding his left hand forward or downward, while his right hand is raised. When mirror-image pairs are found, left and right are reversed. All three types are commonly found with the downward hand holding a bucket/situla (3, 5-6\*, 10\*-16,21-22, 23-26, 28-30, 33\*-36\*, 39\*-55\*, 60, 62\*-63, 67, 70). Most frequently when the left hand carries a bucket, the raised right hand holds a cone (6\*, 10\*-11, 15\*-16, 21-22, 23-24, 26, 28-29, 38-39\*, 42\*-43, 62\*, 70), whose precise function is not certain (WIG-GERMANN 1992: 67), but the raised hand may also be empty (not often clear on seals and seal impressions, clear on 5, 13-14\*, 77). Less often types 1 and 3 hold in one hand or the other a sprig (9\*, 12\*, 17-18, **20,** 31–32, 39\*), a mace (4, 20), or a stag (18). Furthermore, the bearded A.s of type 1 normally, and type 3 often, wear a kilt of above-the-knee length with a tasseled fringe and a full-length cutaway robe or skirt, which leaves the forward leg bare from the knee downward (3, 5–18, 20– 23, 25-27, 29, 35-36\*, 39\*, 68\*-**69**). On detailed representations of types 1 and 3, two daggers and a whetstone are usually tucked into the waist (1\*, 6\*, 17, 20, 22, 26, 39\*). They wear a pair of bracelets with a rosette at each wrist (1\*, 6\*, 10\*, 16-18, 20, 22, 26) a spiral armlet just above the elbow (6\*, 17), and sometimes a single-stranded necklace (6\*, 10\*, 17-18, 20, 22, 39\*) with up to eight (?) pendants (1\*-2).

Types 1 and 3 appear more frequently than type 2 in mirror-image pairs on either side of a stylized sacred tree (1\*, 7, 13, 24, 29, 39\*), a god (15\*, 69), or a king (68\*). Types 1 and 2 appear together on 12\*, 33\*-34, and 38. Types 1 and 3 appear together on 7, 21, and 36\*.

# 1. Human-figured A.

1.1. Phenotypes. The human-figured sage (1\*-39\*), sometimes called winged genie, should probably be identified with Akkadian  $\bar{u}mu$ -a. If so, it is the only sage-figure that has a distinguishing term. Alternatively,  $\bar{u}mu$ -a. may be an extension of a. in which  $\bar{u}mu$  refers to Oannes, the first sage, as an  $ummi\bar{a}num$ .

The human-figured A. is always shown in profile, and is normally bearded. He often wears a headband decorated with rosettes, or a horned crown with one, two, or three pairs of horns; he wears light sandals or is barefoot. Occasionally he is kneeling (7, 19, 24–25, 33\*–34). He usually has two wings on palace sculptures of Assurnasirpal II (883-859) (6\*), two (37) or four (23) wings in the palace of Sargon II (721-705), and four wings in the time of Sennacherib (704-681) (22). However, exceptions such as on 2 can be found, and there is probably flexibility in peripheral iconography or due to deliberate archaism.

On Khorsabad sculptures of the late 8th cent. (23), the four-winged man, holding a bucket and cone and wearing a crown with three pairs of horns, is probably a form of the same figure. A beardless, perhaps female, two-winged form with bucket and cone is found on 8th cent. Carchemish sculpture fragments (30). It may be comparable to the two- or four-winged, perhaps female, figure in the palace of Assurnasirpal II, who holds a jeweled ring in the left hand, and wears a necklace and a crown with two pairs of horns (1\*-2). Although the figure is almost certainly female, it has two daggers and a whetstone tucked into the waist on 1\*-2, implying perhaps ambiguity of gender (ALBENDA 1996). Beardless examples are quite common in 7th cent. Urartian art (24, 27–29).

1.2. Associations. The human-figured A. is rarely associated with a *deity* (27). In a few cases the human-figured A. is associated with *hybrid animals* (24), as on the Hebrew seal 8\* (if genuine), where a winged, man-faced bull Aladlammu (→Human-headed winged bull) serves as a pedestal animal for a divine figure; and on 11, where an unbearded, human-faced winged lion →sphinx supports the mirrorimage pair of A. If genuine, 9\* is another West Semitic (or rather South Semitic) seal with this type of A. standing alone.

Mirror-image pairs stand on each side of a stylized sacred  $\rightarrow$  tree (1\*, 7, 13, 24, 29, 39\*), the tree sometimes surmounted by a  $\rightarrow$ winged disc (11-12\*). This scene is frequently attested on palace sculptures from the Northwest Palace of Assurnasirpal II (883-859) at Nimrud (6\*; PALEY/SOBO-LEWSKI 1987; 1991 passim). The scene is found in a location of high prestige, on a panel set behind the throne dais in the main throne room, where the  $\rightarrow king$  stands in mirror-image at the tree, and the winged disc is also shown. Occasionally the winged disc is supported by a kneeling →atlantid figure (14\*). Other variations include streams of water coming from the winged disc (14\*). Mirror-image figures may also stand on either side of a doorway without a central motif such as a sacred tree. On the rare occasions when this type does not be-

long to a mirror-image arrangement, he may stand, for example, behind a *man* with a flywhisk and bowl, facing the enthroned *king* (26).

The type occurs as a group of six or more clay figurines placed in brick boxes in foundations at Assur, Nimrud, and Nineveh (RITTIG 1977: passim). Ritual texts show that figurines of this type were often made of *e'ru* wood (WIGGERMANN 1992: 65), and thus have not survived.

#### 2. FISH-CLOAK A.

**2.1. Phenotypes.** The fish-cloak A. (12\*, 33\*-35, 40-66), a human figure wearing a fish-cloak suspended from the top of his head and with the head of a fish on top of his human head, corresponds to Berossos' description of the first sage, Oannes. He is always bearded and never has wings. The fish-cloak is either worn over the naked body (33\*-34\*, 42\*, 47-48), the typical garb of the A.s (40, 44\*), or a full-length flounced robe (52\*, 55\*). On some Late Bronze Age items the fish-cloak is fulllength (52\*) or ends just below the waist (34\*). The latter type is also attested on some 9th/8th cent. depictions (48, 55\*; but not 64), and reaches almost to the ground on representations of the 8th/7th cent. (35, 38, 45-46, 49-51, 53-54, 58-62\*). The beard is normally of the typical Assyrian shape, but is forked on 57-58, and 62\*. The fish-cloak A. rarely has two daggers tucked in at his waist (55\*). Occasionally the fish-cloak A. wears a horned crown with a single pair of horns, shown between his brow and the fish-head, indicating the status of a minor divinity (56, 59, **62\***).

**2.2. Associations.** The fish-cloak A. is associated with water (33\*, 40, 63) and with *mermen* whose upper body is human, the lower half a fish; this is the kulullû who fights in →Tiamat's army in the Epic of Creation (44\*, 51, 63). The fish-cloak A. is found with the  $\rightarrow$  goat-fish, symbol of  $\rightarrow$ Ea (47–48, 50\*); appears together with deities (40, 42\*, 45-46, 48); next to a sacred →tree (44\*), which is often surmounted by a  $\rightarrow$  winged disc (38, 42\*-43, 49, 52\*); with a winged disc alone supported by a kneeling figure (33\*-34\*); or with a *priest* (63). He may function as a filling motif in a scene with an offerings table and divine symbols (41\*), and in a contest scene in which a hero dominates winged scorpion men, a composite being which fights in Tiamat's army in the Epic of Creation (**50\***).

Three exceptional pieces are described here in more detail. The fish-cloak A. is depicted on →Lamashtu-amulets as a mir-

ror-image pair standing at a *sick man*'s bed (35). The unpublished Assyrian or Babylonian amulet-seal 63 shows a god in a winged disc above a sacred tree, which is flanked by *mermen*. Approaching from the left is a *priest* in a tall headdress followed by the fish-cloak A., approaching a →mushhushshu-dragon that bears on its back symbols of →Marduk and →Nabu.

A stone tank for water, found at Assur and inscribed by Sennacherib (704-681) (40), represents the Apsu and shows repeated fish-cloak A.s holding cone and bucket pointing the cone toward a *figure holding an overflowing vase*, sculptured around the sides. This example possibly represents the sages as priests of Ea in Eridu in the Babylonian tradition. These contexts related to water are not found on Assyrian palace sculpture or ivory carving, and may belong to a Babylonian rather than an Assyrian tradition.

No Akkadian word for this type has been identified. In BARNETT 1998: pls. 360-361 it is misleadingly described as being the god →Dagon.

# 3. BIRD-OF-PREY-HEADED A.

3.1. Phenotypes. This hybrid sage (7, 21, 36\*, 39\*, 67-80), also called griffindemon, Nisroch, or simply genie, is a human body with the head of a bird of prey (perhaps an eagle or a vulture). It usually appears with one or two wings, each perhaps representing a pair of wings; but also with four (80). Like type 1, a pair of mirrorimage figures is frequently shown, e.g., on 39\*.

Some examples show the bird-of-preyheaded A. with a long, high crest as on 76\*, which has two ringlets falling on to the shoulder. On other examples there are three curls on top of the head (71\*-72, 74,78\*-79). For jewelry the figure may wear a necklace with seven strands (76\*), which may also only be single-stranded with pendants (7). Rosette bracelets are sometimes shown on each wrist (67). The beak is usually closed, but occasionally open to show the tongue (74, 78\*-79), as if emitting a cry (80). On Late Bronze/Early Iron Age seals the figure is often shown naked (33\*– 34\*, 47–48, 72, 74); at later periods the dress is similar to that of the anthropomorphic sage and the fish-cloak A. on most examples, although the knees are entirely covered by the over-garment on 77. The socalled "fish-tail fringe" dangling from the kilt (76\*) is not a fish part, and so does not indicate that the type is a fish composite. WIGGERMANN (1992: 75) considers that this type belongs to an Assyrian tradition, and regards all late 2nd mill. examples as Mid-

dle Assyrian. Other deviations from the standard representation include the replacement of the cone in the right hand with a sprig as on 71\*. The pose of having both hands raised without holding any object (77) is also unusual. The figure appears to pluck a bud or sprig from the sacred tree on 75\*, 78\*, and 79.

3.2. Associations. A pair of bird-of-prey-headed A.s often stands on each side of a sacred → tree (7) or a royal figure (69), or with a plant (78\*-79) or a deity (36\*, 70, 74), with six-curl heroes holding the sacred tree (71\*). The figure occurs with type 1 on sequences with three registers at doorways (6\*). On 72 and 73 an altar is held up by a pair of naked A.s (in a very similar scene [MATTHEWS 1990: no. 452] a pair of mermen perform a similar function).

Assyrian ritual texts describe clay figurines of this type (WIGGERMANN 1992: passim) as foundation figurines buried in groups of seven or more, with black paint, traces of which have occasionally been observed on such clay figurines, including one with black and red stripes painted on the back.

## 4. PROBLEMATIC IDENTIFICATIONS.

The three types are identified from ritual texts and labels on figurines, but because the evidence is uncommon and sometimes ambiguous there are uncertainties. Change over time may also account for some difficulties. Some overlap in the iconography with →Tiamat's composite monsters from the theme of the Epic of Creation is possible, as mentioned above. Single objects such as bucket or sprig may be held by figures who do not share other characteristics with definite sages. WIGGERMANN (1992: 75) identifies A.s in scenes in which figures resembling types 1 and 3 carry weapons and attack animals and monsters. This is not certain, as the bird-headed A. may overlap in form with the →Anzu bird in its 1st mill. appearance, and various winged or wingless man-figures may be hero-gods rather than A.s.

WIGGERMANN'S identifications are largely accepted (WIGGERMANN/GREEN 1993-97) and are followed here, but disagreement, and a proposal to identify the →Lahmu-hero with three pairs of curls as a further type, are suggested by RUSSELL (1991: 312 n. 27; also ORNAN 1993: 60). Antediluvian and postdiluvian sages might be expected to be differentiated, but no clear distinction has been found. Occasionally type 1 may be wingless, including scenes at the bedside of a sick man where he accompanies the fish-cloak A. (e.g., KOLBE 1981: pls. 5:2; 6:2; →Lamashtu amulets listed by

WIGGERMANN 1992: 75) but this is uncertain, since the bucket and type of dress might show the function for a mortal. This wingless type is thought by WIGGERMANN (1992: 74f) to be sages before the flood, an identification based on a possible but unfounded connection with the Sumerian names of those early sages. Their human appearance might be more appropriate for mortal sages who lived after the flood, or they may not be sages at all.

Several possible identifications on West Semitic seals cannot be regarded as certain; ORNAN 1993: 60, figs. 11-12 show a kneeling →atlantid figure not generally considered to be an A., and figs. 15, 17, and 18 are dubious because the seal cutting is so skimpy.

The number of wings shown may sometimes be misleading; perspective or spacing may reduce them, and some scholars think a pair of wings shown in side profile represent four. When a single wing is shown (71\*, 76\*) a pair can be presumed. Similarly, the number of horns shown on crowns of divinity may have been reduced due to considerations of space; they do not appear to distinguish different ranks of sage.

Color may have been used to differentiate between types and eliminate ambiguities, but is not preserved except as occasional traces of paint on foundation figurines

On Urartian bronzes and on other media, e.g., MERHAV 1991: 144 and 309, a pair of winged, human-headed lions with cone and bucket on each side of a tree of life has a context and attributes identical to that of the A.s, but cannot be identified as such without textual support.

The scorpion-man (→Girtablullu), the →Kusarikku-bison, and the →Ugalludemon, who all fight in the army of Tiamat in the Epic of Creation, were attributed to the category of A. by ORNAN (1993: 56) on a misunderstanding of GREEN (1984: 83). The confusion may have validity in some contexts, since sages are said to guard the Tablet of Destinies for →Nabu, a modification of a theme from the Epic of Creation. Possible links are mentioned under individual phenotypes above.

In the Northwest Palace of Assurnasirpal II, RICHARDSON (1999-2001) proposed that types 1 and 3 with the sacred tree are connected with rituals to ensure the blessing of royal ancestors.

# III. Sources

III.1. Chronological range. All three types begin to appear in the late 2nd mill. Some possible antecedents are noted by GREEN (1993-97: 252; see also nos. 66-

70 belonging to the early Atlantid series, which MATTHEWS 1990: 109 dates to the 14th cent.). They could, however, have had a different connotation before being adopted into the sages tradition. Although late texts attribute the tradition of sages to early historical times, no iconographic evidence supports such antiquity for the tradition. Early dated examples of type 2 on sculpture come from the Terga (Tell Ashara) stela of Tukulti-Ninurta II (890-884) (67) and the entrance to the Ninurta temple at Nimrud, probably installed by Assurnasirpal II (883-859) (55\*). Huge sculptures of the fishcloak A. were used likewise in the Southwest Palace of Sennacherib (704-681) (53-**54**). Type 3 first appears on Middle Assyrian seals, and becomes popular in the 9th-7th cent. both in Assyria and Urartu, often in combination with the sacred tree. Type 2, on the other hand, appears around the same time in Babylonia, and is taken over in Assyria in the 9th-7th cent. Type 1 may have begun early in Assyria of the 1st mill. Type 2 is found in Achaemenid (66) and Seleucid (McEwan 1982: nos. 30, 40) times.

III.2. Geographical distribution. As shown above, Assyria is the region where Types 1 and 2 were first found, with extension of Type 1 to Carchemish, and of Types 1 and 3 to West Semitic stamp seals (if they are genuine) and to Urartu, probably all under Assyrian influence. The Babylonian Type 2 is more restricted, moving from Babylonia into Assyria, but from there to Pasargadae in West Iran, and thence into Seleucid art (MCEWAN 1982: nos. 30, 40). Types 1 and 3 occur in Neo-Hittite/Aramaean sculpture at Carchemish (30), Sakcegözü (80), and Malatya (31–32).

III.3. Object types. The three types mainly occur on Assyrian palace sculpture (1\*-2, 6\*-7, 17-18, 20, 22, 26, 53-55\*, 67, including representations on buckets held by sages [e.g., PALEY 1976: pls. 16, 20, 28a-b] and on garments PALEY 1976: pl. 24a), on Assyrian wall-painting (16, 19), on seals (8\*-9\*, 11-14\*,33\*-34\*, 38, 41\*-47, 52\*, 63, 68\*-75\*) or seal impressions (3-5, 49-51), carved ivory (10\*, 21, 76\*-79) found in Assyria, as groups of apotropaic clay figurines (56-62\*), on amuletic plaques (35), on various Urartian objects (15\*, 24-25, 27-29, 36\*, 77) of stone and metal (pendants, horse frontlets, etc.), and as clay foundation figurines (65). None are found on boundary stones of the Kassite and post-Kassite periods, nor on sealings from Emar tablets of the 12th cent., nor among mid-7th cent. sculptures from Assurbanipal's North Palace at Nineveh.

IV. Conclusion. The discrepancy between the written tradition in which the sages represent early antiquity, and the much later chronology of the iconographic evidence is striking. Babylonian and Assyrian traditions seem to have arisen separately. The diffusion of the probably Assyrian types 1 and 3 is different from that of the essentially Babylonian type 2. Types 1 and 3 are closely associated with royal ritual in their scenes with the sacred tree and winged disc, and type 2 is especially associated with sickness, presumably as a healer. These associations make it likely that the bucket and cone, a hallmark of all three types, represent purification and blessing.

#### V. Catalogue

1\* Relief sculpture, alabaster, 1.17 x 1.74 m, Nimrud (Northwest Palace), 864-859. London British Museum, BM 124581. \*PALEY/SOBOLEWSKI 1987: pl. 1:5, I-16 (lower register); ALBENDA 1996: fig. 1 2 Relief sculpture, alabaster, Nimrud (Northwest Palace), 864-859. ALBENDA 1996: fig. 2 3 Cylinder seal impression, clay, Nimrud, 7th cent. HERBORDT 1992: 183, pl. 3:4 4 Cylinder seal impression, fired clay, Nimrud (Fort Shalmaneser), 7th cent. HERBORDT 1992: 206, pl. 3:11 5 Cylinder seal impression, fired clay, Nineveh, 7th cent. HERBORDT 1992: 208, pl. 3:15 6\* Relief sculpture, alabaster, measurements, Nimrud (Northwest Palace), 864-859. Place institution, inv.no. LAYARD 1849: I facing p. 125 7 Relief sculpture, stone, Nimrud (Northwest Palace), 864-859. PALEY/SOBOLEWSKI 1987: pl. 1:3 8\* Scaraboid, chalcedony, 20 x 18 x 13 mm, 8th/7th cent. Paris, Bibliothèque Nationale, Cabinet des Médailles, Seyrig Collection, 1972.1317.127. ORNAN 1993: fig. 22; AVIGAD/SASS 1997: no. 173 9\* Scaraboid, chalcedony, 18 x 15 x 10 mm, 8th/7th cent Paris, Bibliothèque Nationale, Cabinet des Médailles, M 2803 (inv. 1406/8). ORNAN 1993: fig. 19 10\* Panel, ivory, 60 x 35 x 3 mm, Nimrud, 9th cent. Baghdad, Iraq Museum, ND 10420. MALLOWAN/DAVIES 1970: no. 174 11 Cylinder seal, carnelian, 850-825. COLLON 2001: no. 152 12\* Cylinder seal, agate, 28.5 17 mm, 8th/7th cent. London, British Museum, BM WA 105122. COLLON 2001: no. 154 13 Cylinder seal, chalcedony, end of 8th cent. COLLON 2001: no. 179 14\* Cylinder seal chalcedony, 36.5 x 15 mm, late 9th-last third of 8th cent. London, British Museum, BM WA 89082. Collon 2001: no. 202 15\* Pectoral, gold, measurements, 7th cent. Place, institution, inv.no. MERHAV 1991: 165 no. 3 16 Wall painting, Til Barsip, 8th/7th cent. KOLBE 1981: pl. 3:2 17 Relief sculpture, stone, Nimrud (Northwest Palace), 864-859. Kolbe 1981: pl 6:1 18 Relief sculpture, stone, Nimrud (Northwest Palace), 864-859. Kolbe 1981: pl. 5:1 19 Wall painting, Til Barsip, 9th-7th cent. Kolbe 1981: pl. 7:2 20 Relief sculpture, stone Nimrud (Northwest Palace), 864-859. KOLBE 1981: pl. 6:3 21 Panels (pair), ivory, Nimrud, 9th cent. MALLOWAN/DAVIES 1970: nos. 198, 200 22 Relief sculpture, stone, Nineveh (Southwest Palace), 7th cent. BARNETT 1998: pl. 204 23 Brick panel, Khorsabad, 8th cent. PLACE 1867-70: III pl. 11:16 24 Pectoral, silver, late 8th/7th cent. MERHAV 1991: 168, no. 5 25 Pectoral, silver, late 8th/7th cent. MERHAV 1991: 169 no. 6 26 Relief sculpture, stone, Nimrud (Northwest Palace), 864-859. LAYARD 1853: pl. 5 = MERHAV 1991: 174 no. 10a **27** Frontlet, bronze, 7th cent. MERHAV 1991: 92 no. 51 28 Helmet, bronze, 8th cent. MERHAV 1991: 127 no. 9 29 Gilt band on silver bucket, late 9th cent. KELLNER 1980: pls. 1-2; MERHAV 1991: 220 no. 22 30 Relief sculpture (fragments), basalt, Carchemish. 8th cent. (?). WOOLLEY 1952: pl. B:36a-b 31 Orthostat, basalt, Malatya, 8th cent. ORTHMANN 1971: pl. 43b 32 Orthostat, basalt, Malatya, 8th cent. ORTHMANN 1971: pl. 43c 33\* Cylinder seal, chalcedony, 31.5 x 15.5 mm, late 9th/early 8th cent. London, British Museum, BM WA 102495 COLLON 2001: no. 203 34\* Cylinder seal, serpentinite, 49 x 17 mm, Assur, 12th cent. Berlin, Pergamon Museum, VA 7825. \*Moortgat 1940: no. 638; Matthews 1990: no. 499 **35** Plaque, bronze, 1st mill. FARBER 1980-1983: fig. 1 36\* Breastplate, bronze, 40.5 x 34.1 cm, 7th cent. Karlsruhe. Badisches Landesmuseum, 89.7 MAASS 1987; 88, no. 18, pls. 1 middle; 8; \*MERHAV 1991: 86, no. 44 37 Brick panel, Khorsa-

bad, 8th cent. BOTTA/FLANDIN 1849: pl. 27 38 Cylinder seal, chalcedony, Assur, late 8th/7th cent. MOORTGAT 1940: no. 749 39\* Relief sculpture (fragmentary), stone, 6.46\* m, Nimrud (Northwest Palace), 864-859. PALEY/SOBOLEWSKI 1987: pl. 3:11, S-23-26. Fragments partly in situ, missing, or distributed among different museums (see PALEY/SOBOLEWSKI 1987: 43-45, 89) 40 Water tank, stone, Assur, early 7th cent. Andrae 1938: fig. 16 **41\*** Cylinder seal, carnelian, 50.5 x 19 mm, 850-820. London, British Museum, BM WA 89590. COLLON 2001: no. 148 42\* Cylinder seal, carnelian, late 9th cent. London, British Museum, BM WA 105111. COLLON 2001: no. 153 43 Cylinder seal, chalcedony, late 8th cent. Collon 2001: no. 174 44\* Cylinder seal, chalcedony, 7th cent. London, British Museum, BM WA 134770. COLLON 2001: no. 182 45 Cylinder seal, chalcedony, late 8th/7th cent. COLLON 2001: no. 231 46 Cylinder seal, probably chalcedony, acquired at Membij (Syria), early 8th cent. COLLON 2001: no. 269 47 Cylinder seal, limestone, 9th/early 8th cent. Collon 2001: no. 293 48 Cylinder seal, serpentinite, late 8th cent. Collon 2001: no. 125 **49** Cylinder seal impression, fired clay, Nimrud, 656. HERBORDT 1992: 176, pl. 3:1 **50\*** Cylinder seal impression, fired clay, Nimrud (Nabu temple), 7th cent. Baghdad, Iraq Museum, IM 67615. \*Parker 1962: pl. 19:1; Herbordt 1992: 191, pl. 8:6 51 Cylinder seal impression, fired clay, Nimrud (Fort Shalmaneser), 623-612. HERBORDT 1992: 181, pl. 15:4 52\* Cylinder seal, limestone, 68 x 18 mm, 12th cent. Place, institution, inv.no. \*VON DER OSTEN 1934: no. 416; MATTHEWS 1990: no. 196 53 Relief sculpture, stone, Nineveh (Southwest Palace), early 7th cent. BARNETT 1998: pls. 360:447a; 361:447b; 361:447c (upper half) 54 Relief sculpture, stone, Nineveh (Southwest Palace), early 7th cent. BARNETT 1998: pl. 361:447c (lower half) 55\* Relief sculpture, stone, measurements, Nimrud (Ninurta temple), probably 9th cent. Place, institution, inv.no. LAYARD 1853: 350 56 Figurine, clay, Assur, late 8th cent. (?). RITTIG 1977: no. 27 57 Figurine, clay, Nimrud, early 7th cent. (?). RITTIG 1977: no. 28 58 Figurine (fragment of lower part), clay, Til Barsip, 7th cent. RITTIG 1977: no. 29 59 Figurine, clay, Nineveh, late 8th/7th cent. RITTIG 1977; no. 31 **61** Figurine, clay, 7th cent. (?). RITTIG 1977: no. 31 **61** Figurine, clay, Nineveh, early 7th cent. RITTIG 1977: no. 32 62\* Figurine, clay, 12.6 x 3.3 x 4.1 cm, Nineveh, 7th cent. London, British Museum, inv.no. RITTIG 1977: no. 33 a\*-b 63 Conoid, chalcedony, 7th cent. LUUKKO/VAN BUY-LAERE 2002: fig.14 64 Stela (of Tukulti-Ninurta II [890-884]), stone, Terqa (Tell Ashara), 9th cent. MASETTI-ROUAULT 2001: 195 fig. 9 (drawing), 199 fig. 13 (photo) **65** Figurines, clay, Karmir Blur, 7th cent. PIOTROVSKII 1967: fig. 58 (left figures) 66 Sculpture, stone, Pasargadae, 6th/5th cent. STRONACH 1978: pls. 59, 60b 67 Relief sculpture, stone, Khorsabad, 8th cent. KOLBE 1981: pl. 4:1 68\* Cylinder seal, carnelian, 49 x 17 mm, probably from Tarbisu, 850-825. London, British Museum, BM WA 89135. COLLON 2001: no. 151 69 Cylinder seal, chalcedony, late 9th/early 8th cent. COLLON 2001: no. 205 70 Cylinder seal, limestone, late 9th or early 8th cent. COLLON 2001: no. 276 71\* Cylinder seal, hematite, measurements. 14th cent. London, British Museum, 89556. MATTHEWS 1990: no. 450 72 Cylinder seal, lapis lazuli, Thebes (Boeotia, Greece), 14th cent. MATTHEWS 1990: no. 460 73 Cylinder seal impression, clay, Assur, 14th cent. MATTHEWS 1990: no. 461 74 Cylinder seal impression, clay, Assur, 14th cent. MAT-THEWS 1990: no. 477 **75\*** Cylinder seal, sard, 38 x 15 mm, late 13th cent. New York, The Morgan Library & Museum, inv.no PORADA 1948: pl.87:609; MATTHEWS 1990: no. 493 76\* Panel, ivory, 11.7 x 6 cm, Nimrud, 8th cent. Baghdad, Iraq Museum, IM 65274. HERRMANN 1992: pl. 67:321 77 Jar lid, steatite, Karmir Blur, 7th cent. PIOTROVSKII 1967: 69 fig. 48 78\* Panel, ivory, 25 x 10.5 cm, Nimrud, 9th cent. Baghdad, Iraq Museum, IM 61898. MALLOWAN/HERRMANN 1974: pl. 82:66 **79** Panel, ivory, Nimrud, 9th cent. MALLOWAN/HERR-MANN 1974: pl. 83:66 **80** Orthostat, basalt, Sakcegözü, 8th cent. ORTHMANN 1971: pl. 50c

# VI. Selected Bibliography

ALBENDA 1996 • KOLBE 1981 • MERHAV 1991 • RITTIG 1977 • WIGGERMANN 1992 • WIGGERMANN/GREEN 1993-1997

Stephanie Dalley

7/7

Last Revision: 10 March 2011

## Bibliography

ALBENDA P., 1996, The Beardless Winged Genies From the Northwest Palace at Nimrud: SAAB 10/1, 67-78.

ANDRAE W., 1938, Das wiedererstandene Assur, München.

AVIGAD N./SASS B., 1997, Corpus of West Semitic Stamp Seals, Jerusalem.

BARNETT R.D. et al., 1998, Sculptures from the Southwest Palace of Sennacherib at Nineveh. London.

BORGER R., 1957, Assyriologische und altarabistische Miszellen: Orientalia NS 26, 8-11

1974, Die Beschwörungsserie Bīt Mēseri und die Himmelfahrt Henochs: JNES 33, 183-196.

BOTTA P.E./FLANDIN E., 1849, Monument de Ninive. Tome I/II: Architecture et sculpture, Paris. Réimpression de l'édition de 1846-50, Osnabrück, 1972.

COLLON D., 1987, First Impressions. Cylinder Seals in the Ancient Near East, London.

 2001, Catalogue of the Western Asiatic Seals in the British Museum Cylinder Seals. V: Neo-Assyrian and Neo-Babylonian Periods, London.

FARBER W., 1980-1983, Art. Lamaštu, in: RIA 6, 439-446.

GREEN A., 1984, Beneficent Spirits and Malevolent Demons: Visible Religion 3, 80-105.

HERBORDT S., 1992, Neuassyrische Glyptik des 8.-7. Jh. v. Chr. (State Archives of Assyria Studies 1), Helsinki.

HERRMANN G., 1992, Ivories from Nimrud (1949-1963). V: The Small Collections from Fort Shalmaneser, London.

HUNGER H., 1983, Spätbabylonische Texte aus Uruk. II (Ausgrabungen der Deutschen Forschungsgemeinschaft in Uruk-Warka), Berlin.

KELLNER H.-J., 1980, Ein datierter Silberfund aus Urartu: Anatolia 19, 57-61.

KOLBE D., 1981, Die Reliefprogramme religiös-mythologischen Charakters in neu-assyrischen Palästen. Die Figurentypen, ihre Benennung und Bedeutung, Frankfurt/Bern.

LAYARD A.H., 1849, Nineveh and its Remains, London.

— 1853, Nineveh and Babylon, London.

LUUKKO M./VAN BUYLAERE G., 2002, The Political Correspondence of Esarhaddon (SAA 16), Helsinki.

MALLOWAN M.E.L./DAVIES L.G., 1970, Ivories from Nimrud (1949-1963). II: Ivories in Assyrian Style, London.

MALLOWAN M.E.L./HERRMANN G., 1974, Ivories from Nimrud (1949-1963). III: Furniture from SW.7 Fort Shalmaneser, London.

MATTHEWS D.M., 1990, Principles of Composition in Near Eastern Glyptic of the Later Second Milennium B.C. (OBO.SA 8), Fribourg/Göttingen.

MACEWAN G., 1982, Oxford Editions of Cuneiform Texts. Vol. 9: Texts from Hellenistic Babylonia in the Ashmolean Museum, Oxford.

MASETTI-ROUAULT, M.G., 2001, Cultures locales du Moyen-Euphrate. Modèles et événements, IIe-Ier mill. av. J.-C. (Subartu 8), Turnhout.

MAASS M., 1987, Helme, Zubehör von Wagen und Pferdegeschirr aus Urartu: AMI 20, 65-92.

MERHAV R., ed., 1991, Urartu. A Metalworking Center in the First Millennium B.C.E., Jerusalem.

MOORTGAT A., 1940, Vorderasiatische Rollsiegel, Berlin.

ORNAN T., 1993, Mesopotamian Influence on West Semitic Inscribed Seals, in: SASS B/UEHLINGR CH., eds., Studies in the Iconography of Northwest Semitic Inscribed Seals (OBO 125), Fribourg/Göttingen, 52-73.

ORTHMANN W., 1971, Untersuchungen zur späthethitischen Kunst, Bonn.

PALEY S.M., 1976, King of the World. Ashur-nasir-pal II of Assyria 883-859 B.C., Brooklyn, NY.

PARKER B., 1962, Seal and Seal Impressions from the Nimrud Excavations, 1955-58: Iraq 24, 26-40.

PALEY S.M./SOBOLEWSKI R.P., 1987, The Reconstruction of the Relief Representations and their Positions in the Northwest-Palace at Kalhu (Nimrud) II, Mainz am Rhein, 1987.

PIOTROVSKIJ B.B., 1967, Urartu. The Kindgom of Van and its Art, London.

PLACE V., 1867-1870, Ninive et l'Assyrie. Avec des essais de restauration par F. Thomas, 3 vols., Paris.

PORADA E., 1948, Corpus of Ancient Near Eastern Cylinder Seals in North American Collections. The Collection of the Pierpont Morgan Library, 2 vols. (The Bollingen Series 14), Washington.

REINER E., 1961, The Etiological Myth of the Seven Sages: Orientalia 30, 1-11.

RITTIG D., 1977, Assyrisch-babylonische Kleinplastik magischer Bedeutung vom 13.-6. Jh. v. Chr. München.

RICHARDSON S., 1999-2001, An Assyrian Garden of Ancestors: Room I, Northwest Palace, Kalhu: SAAB 13, 145-216.

RLA = E. EBELING/D.O. EDZARD, eds., 1932-, Reallexikon der Assyriologie und vorderasiatischen Archäologie, Berlin.

RUSSELL J.M., 1991, Sennacherib's Palace Without Rival at Nineveh, Chicago.

STRONACH D., 1978, Pasargadae, Oxford.

VON DER OSTEN H., 1934, Ancient Oriental Seals in the Collection of Mr. Edward T. Newell (OIP 22), Chicago.

WIGGERMANN F.A.M., 1992, Mesopotamian Protective Spirits, The Ritual Texts (Cuneiform Monographs 1), Groningen.

WIGGERMANN F.A.M./GREEN A., 1993-97, Arts. Mischwesen A.&B., in: RIA 8, 222-264.

WOOLLEY L., 1952, Carchemish. Report on the Excavations at Jerablus on behalf of the British Museum, Part III, London.